COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

- and all Defendants hereinafter referred to collectively as "the Defendants") for violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act (hereinafter "FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681, *et seq*.
- 2. Congress determined the banking system is dependent upon fair and accurate credit reporting and that inaccurate credit reports directly impair the efficiency of the banking system and undermine the public confidence¹. Congress' explicit purpose of enacting the FCRA was to require reasonable procedures for meeting the needs of consumer credit while doing so in a manner that is fair and equitable to consumers with regard to the confidentiality, accuracy, relevance, and proper utilization of such information².
- 3. Plaintiff makes the allegations contained herein on information and belief (except as to those allegations regarding himself, which are made on personal knowledge).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 et. seq.
- 5. Venue is proper in this District as the Plaintiff is and was at all times described herein, a natural person residing in the County of San Diego. Furthermore, the Defendants regularly conducted business within the County of San Diego, and the County of San Diego is where a substantial part of the Plaintiff's injuries occurred. Therefore, jurisdiction is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff is a natural person who, at all times relevant herein, resides in the

2

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

¹ 15 U.S.C. §1681(a)

² 15 U.S.C. §1681(b)

1 County of San Diego, State of California.

- 2 7. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1681a(c).
- 8. Defendant CreditOne is a national banking association headquartered in Nevada that regularly conducts business in the State of California, County of San Diego.
- 9. Defendant CreditOne as part of its regular business practice reports information
 to various Consumer Reporting Agencies. Therefore, Defendant CreditOne is a
 "Furnisher of Information" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681, et. seq.
 - 10. Defendant Experian is a nation-wide Credit Bureau that reports information regarding individual consumer credit files as part of its regular business practices. Defendant Experian is headquartered in Costa Mesa, CA and regularly conducts business in the State of California, County of San Diego.
- 12 | 11. Defendant Experian is a "Consumer Reporting Agency" ("CRA") as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1681a(f).
 - 12. Defendant TransUnion is a nation-wide Credit Bureau that reports information regarding individual consumer credit files as part of its regular business practices. Defendant TransUnion is headquartered in Chicago, IL and regularly conducts business in the State of California, County of San Diego.
- 18 | 13. Defendant TransUnion is a "Consumer Reporting Agency" ("CRA") as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1681a(f).
 - 14. Defendant Equifax is a nation-wide Credit Bureau that reports information regarding individual consumer credit files as part of its regular business practices. Defendant Equifax is headquartered in Atlanta, GA and regularly conducts business in the State of California, County of San Diego.
 - 15. Defendant Equifax is a "Consumer Reporting Agency" ("CRA") as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1681a(f).

4

5

6

7

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

2 16. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 15,
3 inclusive, as if fully set forth.

- 17. On or about June of 2013, unbeknownst to Plaintiff at the time, CreditOne began reporting to all major CRAs that Plaintiff was liable for a credit card owed to CreditOne, with an approximate credit limit of \$400.00 (hereinafter "Fraudulent Card").
- 8 18. On or about July of 2013, unbeknownst to Plaintiff at the time, CreditOne 9 reported to all major CRAs that Plaintiff was 30 days delinquent on payments 10 allegedly due on said Fraudulent Card.
- 20. On or about September of 2014, unbeknownst to Plaintiff at the time, CreditOne
 reported to all major CRAs that Plaintiff was 30 days delinquent on payments
 allegedly due on said Fraudulent Card.
- 21. On or about October of 2014, unbeknownst to Plaintiff at the time, CreditOne
 reported to all major CRAs that that Plaintiff's was 60 days delinquent on
 payments allegedly due on the Fraudulent Card.
- 21 22. On or about November of 2014, unbeknownst to Plaintiff at the time, CreditOne 22 reported to all major CRAs that that Plaintiff was 90 days delinquent on 23 payments allegedly due on the Fraudulent Card.
- 24 23. On or about December of 2014, unbeknownst to Plaintiff at the time, CreditOne
 reported to all major CRAs that that Plaintiff was 120 days delinquent on
 payments allegedly due on the Fraudulent Card.
- 27 24. On or about January of 2015, unbeknownst to Plaintiff at the time, CreditOne reported to all major CRAs that that Plaintiff was 150 days delinquent on

payments allegedly due on the Fraudulent Card.

- 2 | 25. On or about February of 2015, unbeknownst to Plaintiff at the time, CreditOne reported to all major CRAs that that Plaintiff was 180 days delinquent on payments allegedly due on the Fraudulent Card.
- 26. On or about March of 2015, unbeknownst to Plaintiff at the time, CreditOne
 reported to all major CRAs that that as a result of Plaintiff's alleged delinquency
 exceeding 180 days on payments due on the Fraudulent Card, that the subject
 Fraudulent Card account was "charged off" by CreditOne.
- 9 27. At some point after internally charging off the alleged debt owed under the 10 Fraudulent Card, CreditOne sold the alleged debt owed from the Fraudulent 11 Card to LVNV Funding, LLC.
- 28. From March of 2015 and continuing through May of 2016, unbeknownst to
 Plaintiff at the time, CreditOne reported to all major CRAs that Plaintiff's
 alleged debt owed on the Fraudulent Card was "charged off" and that the unpaid
 charged off amount was \$874.00.
- 29. On July 7, 2015, unbeknownst to Plaintiff at the time, LVNV filed suit against
 Plaintiff (hereinafter "Collection Complaint") in the Superior Court of
 California, County of San Diego, with case no. 37-2015-00022908-CL-CL-NC
 alleging breach of contract by Plaintiff (hereinafter "Collection Suit").
- 30. On or about February 2016, Plaintiff first became aware of the Fraudulent Card
 and alleged debt owed to CreditOne as a result of marketing materials sent to
 Plaintiff by third party attorneys regarding the Collection Suit.
- 23 31. Plaintiff never incurred, nor authorized anyone to incur, a debt to CreditOne,
 24 N.A., or any related entity, that could form a basis for the Fraudulent Card.
- 32. On or about February 2016, Plaintiff immediately contacted LVNV's Counsel
 Johnson Mark, LLP (hereinafter "JM LLP") by telephone and was informed that
 JM LLP had been retained on behalf of LVNV to collect on a charged-off claim
 for a revolving credit card account allegedly originally owed to CreditOne.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

- Plaintiff insisted he had never taken out any such credit card and that the account was an error on behalf of CreditOne and LVNV, but the JM LLP representative stated only that their records indicated the account was Plaintiff's and JM LLP's agent refused to take any corrective action.
- 33. On May 31, 2016, Plaintiff initiated separate disputes of the Fraudulent Card account being reported by CreditOne through Experian's, TransUnion's, and Equifax's online dispute portals wherein he disputed the validity of CreditOne's claim and explained that CreditOne was reporting an alleged debt improperly as Plaintiff had never incurred any debt to CreditOne or any related entity (hereinafter referred to as "CRA Disputes").
- 11 34. On or about May 31, 2016, Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax each sent a 12 Consumer Dispute Verification request to CreditOne as required by 15 U.S.C. 13 §1681(i).
 - 35. On June 19, 2016, TransUnion informed Plaintiff that Plaintiff's CRA Dispute was completed. The results of the TransUnion CRA Dispute showed that neither CreditOne nor TransUnion deleted or removed the unlawful and incorrect information reported on Plaintiff's TransUnion credit report regarding the Fraudulent Card.
 - 36. On June 29, 2016, Equifax informed Plaintiff that Plaintiff's CRA Dispute was The results of the Equifax CRA Dispute showed that neither CreditOne nor Equifax deleted or removed the unlawful and incorrect information reported on Plaintiff's Equifax credit report regarding the Fraudulent Card.
- 37. On July 6, 2016, Experian informed Plaintiff that Plaintiff's Dispute was completed. The results of the Experian CRA Dispute showed that neither 26 CreditOne nor Experian deleted or removed the unlawful and incorrect information reported on Plaintiff's Experian credit report regarding the Fraudulent Card.

- 1 38. Despite receipt and knowledge of Plaintiff's CRA Disputes and that they were 2 furnishing incorrect information for a debt not owed by Plaintiff, the Defendants 3 all are still willfully misreporting the "Charged Off" Fraudulent Card on 4 Plaintiff's the major CRA credit reports. 5 39. Defendants' joint failures to conduct reasonable investigations of Plaintiff's 6 CRA Disputes caused Plaintiff emotional distress including anxiety, fear, 7 sleeplessness, nausea, and headaches. 8 40. The inaccurate information furnished by Defendants negatively reflects upon 9 Plaintiff, Plaintiff's credit repayment history, Plaintiff's financial responsibility, 10 and Plaintiff's credit worthiness. 11 41. As a result of the inaccurate and unlawful information reported on Plaintiff's 12 Experian credit report, Plaintiff has been denied credit and has been offered 13 credit at higher interest rates than he otherwise would have been able to obtain 14 but for Defendants' unlawful conduct. As a result, Plaintiff has suffered 15 economic damages from lost opportunities to borrow, and in other instances has paid more interest than he should have as a direct result of the Defendants 16 17 conduct. 42. Plaintiff's "credit report" as referenced above was a "consumer report" as that 18 term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1681a(d). 19 20 21 **CAUSES OF ACTION** 22 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION AS TO CREDITONE 23 24 VIOLATIONS OF 15 U.S.C. §1681n – WILLFUL NONCOMPLIANCE 25 WITH THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT 43. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 42, 26
 - 44. 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(b)(1) provides that after receiving notice of a dispute with

28

inclusive, as if fully set forth.

- 1 regards to the completeness or accuracy of any information, the person receiving 2 notice shall conduct an investigation with respect to the disputed information, 3 review the consumer's dispute, and either verify that the information being 4 reported is accurate, or if the information cannot be verified, modify, delete, or 5 permanently block the reporting of the disputed item. 6 7
- 45. Upon being notified of a Consumer Dispute Verification request by Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax, CreditOne failed to conduct a reasonable 8 investigation into Plaintiff's Dispute.
 - 46. By failing to properly modify, delete, or block the reporting of the inaccurate and unlawful false negative information regarding the Fraudulent Card, CreditOne violated 15 U.S.C. §1681sd-2(b)(1).
- 12 47. 15 U.S.C. §1681n provides for civil liability for any party that willfully fails to comply with the provisions of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 et. seq. 13
 - 48. CreditOne had actual knowledge from Plaintiff provided through his CRA Disputes that the information provided to Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax by CreditOne regarding the Fraudulent Card was inaccurate. Despite this, CreditOne willfully failed to conduct a reasonable investigation and did not delete, modify, or block its incorrect and unlawful information regarding the Fraudulent Card. As such, CreditOne is liable to Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n.

22

23

24

27

28

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION AS TO CREDITONE VIOLATIONS OF 15 U.S.C. §16810 – NEGLIGENT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

- 25 49. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 48, inclusive, as if fully set forth. 26
 - 50. 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(b)(1) provides that after receiving notice of a dispute with regard to the completeness or accuracy of any information, the person receiving

- 1 notice shall conduct an investigation with respect to the disputed information, 2 review the consumer's dispute, and either verify that the information being 3 reported is accurate, or if the information cannot be verified, modify, delete, or 4 permanently block the reporting of the disputed item. 5 51. Upon being notified of a Consumer Dispute Verification request by Experian, 6 TransUnion, and Equifax, CreditOne failed to conduct a reasonable 7 investigation into Plaintiff's CRA Disputes. 8 52. By failing to properly modify, delete, or block the reporting of the inaccurate 9 and unlawful false negative information regarding the Fraudulent Card,
 - CreditOne violated 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(b)(1).

 53. 15 U.S.C. §1681(o) provides for civil liability for any party that is negligent in
 - failing to comply with the provisions of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 *et. seq*.

 54. CreditOne had notice from Plaintiff provided through his Dispute that the information provided to Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax by CreditOne
- regarding the Fraudulent Card was inaccurate. Despite this, CreditOne failed to conduct a reasonable investigation and did not delete, modify, or block its
- incorrect and unlawful information regarding the Fraudulent Card. As such,
- CreditOne is liable to Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681o.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

19

10

11

12

13

14

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION AS TO EXPERIAN, TRANSUNION, AND EQUIFAX

<u>WITH THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT</u>

- 55. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 54, inclusive, as if fully set forth.
- 56. 15 U.S.C. §1681i(a)(1) requires any CRA to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation of the accuracy of any record being reported when a consumer

- 1 notifies any CRA that the consumer disputes an item being reported.
- 2 57. 15 U.S.C. §1681i(a)(4) requires any CRA review and consider all relevant 3 information submitted by a consumer when the consumer disputes an item being 4 reported.
- 58. 15 U.S.C. §1681i(a)(5) requires any CRA to promptly delete an item of 5 6 information from a consumer report, or modify the information as appropriate if 7 the item is found to be inaccurate or incomplete or cannot be verified.
- 59. 15 U.S.C. §1681(e)(b) requires any CRA to follow reasonable procedures to 8 9 assure maximum possible accuracy of the information concerning the individual 10 about whom the report relates.
- 60. When Plaintiff noticed Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax of his CRA 11 12 Disputes, he provided Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax with actual notice that the information being reported by Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax regarding 13 14 the Fraudulent Card was inaccurate and should be deleted.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 61. Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax all did not delete or modify the information on Plaintiff's credit reports regarding the Fraudulent Card, and Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax are all still to date reporting the inaccurate information.
- 62. By willfully failing to delete or modify the information being reported on Plaintiff's credit report regarding the Fraudulent Card, Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax violated 15 U.S.C. §1681i. As such, Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax are liable to Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n.
- 63. The inability of Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax to delete or modify the 23 24 incorrect information being reported on Plaintiff's credit report regarding the 25 Fraudulent Card after being specifically noticed of the inaccuracies by Plaintiff through the CRA Disputes, was a result of their willful failure to establish and 26 follow reasonable procedures to assure accuracy in the preparation of Plaintiff's credit report in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1681(e)(b). As a direct result of 28

Defendant Experian, Defendant Equifax, and Defendant Transunion's aforementioned unlawful conduct, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages in an amount to proven at trial. As such, Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax are all jointly and severally liable to Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n.

56

1

2

3

4

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION AS TO EXPERIAN, TRANSUNION, AND

EQUIFAX

8

10

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

7

<u>VIOLATIONS OF 15 U.S.C. §16810 – NEGLIGENT</u> NONCOMPLIANCE WITH THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

64. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 63,

inclusive, as if fully set forth.

- 13 65. 15 U.S.C. §1681i(a)(1) requires any CRA to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation of the accuracy of any record being reported when a consumer notifies any CRA that the consumer disputes an item being reported.
- 66. 15 U.S.C. §1681i(a)(4) requires any CRA review and consider all relevant
 information submitted by a consumer when the consumer disputes an item being
 reported.
- 19 67. 15 U.S.C. §1681i(a)(5) requires any CRA to promptly delete an item of information from a consumer report, or modify the information as appropriate if the item is found to be inaccurate or incomplete or cannot be verified.
 - 68. 15 U.S.C. §1681(e)(b) requires any CRA to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information concerning the individual about whom the report relates.
 - 69. When Plaintiff noticed Defendant Experian, Defendant TransUnion, and Defendant Equifax of his CRA Disputes, he provided the Defendants with actual notice that the information being reported by Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax regarding the Fraudulent Card was inaccurate and should be deleted.

- 70. Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax all did not delete or modify the information on Plaintiff's credit reports regarding the Fraudulent Card, and Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax are all still to date reporting the inaccurate information.
- 71. By failing to exercise due care, Defendant Experian, Defendant Transunion, and Defendant Equifax negligently failed to delete or modify the information being reported on Plaintiff's credit report regarding the Fraudulent Card. Therefore, Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax violated 15 U.S.C. §1681i. As such, Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax are jointly and severally liable to Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681o.
- 72. The inability of Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax to delete or modify the incorrect information being reported on Plaintiff's credit report regarding the Fraudulent Card after being specifically noticed of the inaccuracies by Plaintiff through the Disputes, was a result of their negligent failure to establish and follow reasonable procedures to assure accuracy in the preparation of Plaintiff's credit report in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1681(e)(b).
- 73. As a direct result of Defendant Experian, Defendant Equifax, and Defendant Transunion's negligence, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages in an amount to proven at trial. As such, Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax are liable to Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681o.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- WHEREFORE, Plaintiff having set forth the claims for relief against Defendants herein, respectfully request this Court enter a Judgment against Defendants as follows:
 - 1. An award of actual damages subject to proof at trial against Defendant CreditOne pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n(a)(1)(A) and 1681o(a)(1);

1	2.	An award of punitive damages subj	ject to proof at trial against Defendant					
2		CreditOne pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §16	81n(a)(2);					
3	3.	An award of attorney's fees and cos	sts of suit against Defendant CreditOne					
4		pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n(a)(3)	and 1681o(b);					
5	4.	An award of actual damages subje	ct to proof at trial against Defendants					
6		Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax p	oursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n(a)(1)(A)					
7		and 1681o(a)(1);						
8	5.	An award of punitive damages subject to proof at trial against Defendants						
9		Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax p	oursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n(a)(2);					
10	6.	An award of attorney's fees and cos	sts of suit against Defendants Experian,					
11		TransUnion, and Equifax pursuan	t to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n(a)(3) and					
12		1681o(b);						
13	7.	Injunctive relief in the form of an order requiring the Defendant to remove all						
14		incorrect information regarding the Fraudulent Card from Plaintiff's						
15		Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax credit reports; and						
16	8.	For such further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.						
17								
18								
19			Bankruptcy Law Center, APC					
20								
21		Dated: August 15, 2016	By: /s/ Ahren A. Tiller					
22		Duted. Mugust 13, 2010	Ahren A. Tiller					
23			Attorneys for Plaintiff David F. Brown					
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Pursuant to the Seventh Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, Plaintiff is entitled to, and demands, a trial by jury on all issues triable by a jury. Dated: August 10, 2016 Bankruptcy Law Center, APC /s/ Ahren A. Tiller By: Ahren A. Tiller Attorneys for Plaintiff David F. Brown

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FO	RM.)	, 1			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS	II N A . EVDEDI	AN INCODMATIO		
DAVID F. BROWN, Indivi	dual			CREDIT ONE BANK, N.A.; EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC.; TRANSUNION, LLC, and EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC				
(b) County of Residence of	f First Listed Plaintiff S XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	an Diego		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)				
					ONDEMNATION CASE OF LAND INVOLVED		ON OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	er)		Attorneys (If Known) 16 CV2056 L BLM				
Bankruptcy Law Center, <i>i</i> 1230 Columbia St. Suite 619-894-8831		92101						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PAR	RTIES (Place an "X"	' in One Box for Plaintifj	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State		ated and Principal Place siness In Another State		
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign	Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	EC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTO	rv I ottu	ER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		5 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC		se Claims Act	
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability		of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157		e Reapportionment	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	☐ 367 Health Care/	1 09	o Other		□ 430 Ban	ks and Banking	
 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			PROPERTY RIGI ■ 820 Copyrights	HTS ☐ 450 Con ☐ 460 Dep		
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability	. 1		☐ 830 Patent	☐ 470 Rac	keteer Influenced and	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability ☐ 340 Marine	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product			☐ 840 Trademark	★ 480 Con	rupt Organizations sumer Credit	
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability	TV 🗆 71	LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURI ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	TY ☐ 490 Cab	le/Sat TV	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPER ☐ 370 Other Fraud		Act	□ 861 HIA (1393H) □ 862 Black Lung (92		urities/Commodities/ change	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	□ 72	0 Labor/Management Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (☐ 864 SSID Title XVI		er Statutory Actions	
☐ 196 Onler Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage	□ 74	0 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	□ 893 Env	ricultural Acts Fironmental Matters	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	□ 75	1 Family and Medical Leave Act		☐ 895 Free Act	edom of Information	
	Medical Malpractice	1 roduct Elability	□ 79	0 Other Labor Litigation		☐ 896 Arb		
REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:	NS □ 79	1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX S ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Pla		ninistrative Procedure Review or Appeal of	
□ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee		income security Act	or Defendant)		ncy Decision	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land☐	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate			□ 871 IRS—Third Par 26 USC 7609	•	e Statutes	
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	Sentence ☐ 530 General			26 USC 7609	State	z Statutes	
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	☐ 535 Death Penalty Other:	1 16	IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application	1			
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -			5 Other Immigration				
	Other 448 Education	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition		Actions				
	1 446 Education	☐ 560 Civil Detainee -	İ		İ	į		
		Conditions of Confinement						
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)				1	I		
▼ 1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from	Remanded from Appellate Court	□ 4 Rein Reop		er District L	Aultidistrict itigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	15 U.S.C. 1681, e	et. seq.	re filing (I	Oo not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless diversity):			
vii chesz or herr	Differ description of Ca		ir Credit	Reporting Act (15 L	J.S.C. sections 16	681n and 1681o)		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 23, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$	CHECK Y JURY DE	ES only if demanded MAND: X Ye	•	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUME	BER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY (OF RECORD				
08/15/2016		/s/ Ahren A. Till	er					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY								
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	l	MAG. JUDGE		